

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/932,203	TREMBLAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O Savage	1723	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O Savage.

(2) Mr. Timothy French.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

7, and new claim 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Pulek et al, Storey et al, Pall '249, Vogt, of record.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to amend claim 7 to patently distinguish over Pulek et al, Storey et al in view of Pall '249, and Vogt. Agreed to add claim 15 specifying shape and dimensions of the cavities. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)